



**OXFORD SPA  
2015**

# Joseph Najnudel Université Toulouse III

38th Conference on Stochastic Processes and their Applications  
[Spa2015@oxford-man.ox.ac.uk](mailto:Spa2015@oxford-man.ox.ac.uk)



# The circular unitary ensemble and the Riemann zeta function: the microscopic landscape

Joseph Najnudel

Joint work with Reda Chhaibi and Ashkan Nikeghbali

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse

SPA, Oxford, July 2015

# Introduction, presentation of the main setting

There are many different models of random matrices which can be studied.  
Here are two classical examples:

- ▶ The *Gaussian Unitary Ensemble*, for which the entries are complex Gaussian variables.
- ▶ The *Circular Unitary Ensemble*, corresponding to a random unitary matrix following the Haar (i.e. uniform) measure on a unitary group.

In this presentation, we study convergence results on the characteristic polynomial of a random matrix following the Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE). We then state some conjectures on the Riemann zeta function, related to these results.

## Introduction, presentation of the main setting

There are many different models of random matrices which can be studied.  
Here are two classical examples:

- ▶ The *Gaussian Unitary Ensemble*, for which the entries are complex Gaussian variables.
- ▶ The *Circular Unitary Ensemble*, corresponding to a random unitary matrix following the Haar (i.e. uniform) measure on a unitary group.

In this presentation, we study convergence results on the characteristic polynomial of a random matrix following the Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE). We then state some conjectures on the Riemann zeta function, related to these results.

# Introduction, presentation of the main setting

There are many different models of random matrices which can be studied.  
Here are two classical examples:

- ▶ The *Gaussian Unitary Ensemble*, for which the entries are complex Gaussian variables.
- ▶ The *Circular Unitary Ensemble*, corresponding to a random unitary matrix following the Haar (i.e. uniform) measure on a unitary group.

In this presentation, we study convergence results on the characteristic polynomial of a random matrix following the Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE). We then state some conjectures on the Riemann zeta function, related to these results.

## Introduction, presentation of the main setting

There are many different models of random matrices which can be studied.  
Here are two classical examples:

- ▶ The *Gaussian Unitary Ensemble*, for which the entries are complex Gaussian variables.
- ▶ The *Circular Unitary Ensemble*, corresponding to a random unitary matrix following the Haar (i.e. uniform) measure on a unitary group.

In this presentation, we study convergence results on the characteristic polynomial of a random matrix following the Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE). We then state some conjectures on the Riemann zeta function, related to these results.

More precisely, our setting is given as follows:

- ▶ We consider, for  $n \geq 1$ , a Haar-distributed matrix  $u_n$  on the unitary group  $U(n)$ .
- ▶ We denote by  $(\lambda_k^{(n)})_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  the eigenvalues of  $u_n$ , ordered counterclockwise starting from 1: recall that all the eigenvalues have modulus 1.
- ▶ For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we denote by  $\theta_k^{(n)} \in [0, 2\pi)$  the argument of  $\lambda_k^{(n)}$ . We extend by periodicity the notation to all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

More precisely, our setting is given as follows:

- ▶ We consider, for  $n \geq 1$ , a Haar-distributed matrix  $u_n$  on the unitary group  $U(n)$ .
- ▶ We denote by  $(\lambda_k^{(n)})_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  the eigenvalues of  $u_n$ , ordered counterclockwise starting from 1: recall that all the eigenvalues have modulus 1.
- ▶ For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we denote by  $\theta_k^{(n)} \in [0, 2\pi)$  the argument of  $\lambda_k^{(n)}$ . We extend by periodicity the notation to all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .



More precisely, our setting is given as follows:

- ▶ We consider, for  $n \geq 1$ , a Haar-distributed matrix  $u_n$  on the unitary group  $U(n)$ .
- ▶ We denote by  $(\lambda_k^{(n)})_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  the eigenvalues of  $u_n$ , ordered counterclockwise starting from 1: recall that all the eigenvalues have modulus 1.
- ▶ For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we denote by  $\theta_k^{(n)} \in [0, 2\pi)$  the argument of  $\lambda_k^{(n)}$ . We extend by periodicity the notation to all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

More precisely, our setting is given as follows:

- ▶ We consider, for  $n \geq 1$ , a Haar-distributed matrix  $u_n$  on the unitary group  $U(n)$ .
- ▶ We denote by  $(\lambda_k^{(n)})_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  the eigenvalues of  $u_n$ , ordered counterclockwise starting from 1: recall that all the eigenvalues have modulus 1.
- ▶ For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we denote by  $\theta_k^{(n)} \in [0, 2\pi)$  the argument of  $\lambda_k^{(n)}$ . We extend by periodicity the notation to all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- ▶ The characteristic polynomial  $Z_n$  of  $u_n$  is given by

$$Z_n(z) := \det(zI_n - u_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \lambda_k^{(n)}).$$

- ▶ One can prove that for  $|z| < 1$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $Z_n(z)$  converges in law to a limiting random variable (consequence of a result by Diaconis and Shahshahani on the distribution of eigenvalues).
- ▶ Such a convergence does not hold for  $|z| \geq 1$ . For  $|z| = 1$ , Keating and Snaith have proven that  $\log |Z_n(z)| / \sqrt{\log n}$  converges to a Gaussian random variable.

- ▶ The characteristic polynomial  $Z_n$  of  $u_n$  is given by

$$Z_n(z) := \det(zI_n - u_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \lambda_k^{(n)}).$$

- ▶ One can prove that for  $|z| < 1$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $Z_n(z)$  converges in law to a limiting random variable (consequence of a result by Diaconis and Shahshahani on the distribution of eigenvalues).
- ▶ Such a convergence does not hold for  $|z| \geq 1$ . For  $|z| = 1$ , Keating and Snaith have proven that  $\log |Z_n(z)| / \sqrt{\log n}$  converges to a Gaussian random variable.

- ▶ The characteristic polynomial  $Z_n$  of  $u_n$  is given by

$$Z_n(z) := \det(zI_n - u_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \lambda_k^{(n)}).$$

- ▶ One can prove that for  $|z| < 1$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $Z_n(z)$  converges in law to a limiting random variable (consequence of a result by Diaconis and Shahshahani on the distribution of eigenvalues).
- ▶ Such a convergence does not hold for  $|z| \geq 1$ . For  $|z| = 1$ , Keating and Snaith have proven that  $\log |Z_n(z)| / \sqrt{\log n}$  converges to a Gaussian random variable.

# Statement of the main result

In our paper, we consider the ratio:

$$\xi_n(z) := \frac{Z_n(e^{2i\pi z/n})}{Z_n(1)}.$$

We show the following result:

## Theorem

*There exists a random holomorphic function  $(\xi_\infty(z))_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$  such that  $(\xi_n(z))_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$  converges in law to  $(\xi_\infty(z))_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in the space of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.*

# Statement of the main result

In our paper, we consider the ratio:

$$\xi_n(z) := \frac{Z_n(e^{2i\pi z/n})}{Z_n(1)}.$$

We show the following result:

## Theorem

*There exists a random holomorphic function  $(\xi_\infty(z))_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$  such that  $(\xi_n(z))_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$  converges in law to  $(\xi_\infty(z))_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in the space of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.*

## Some properties of $\xi_\infty$ :

- ▶ All the zeros of  $\xi_\infty$  are real.
- ▶ The set  $E$  of the zeros of  $\xi_\infty$  is a *determinantal sine-kernel point process*, i.e. for  $m \geq 1$ ,  $f$  nonnegative and measurable from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{x_1 \neq \dots \neq x_m \in E} f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(y_1, \dots, y_m) \rho_m(y_1, \dots, y_m) dy_1 \dots dy_m \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho_m(y_1, \dots, y_m) = \det \left( \frac{\sin(\pi(y_p - y_q))}{\pi(y_p - y_q)} \right)_{1 \leq p, q \leq m}.$$



Some properties of  $\xi_\infty$ :

- ▶ All the zeros of  $\xi_\infty$  are real.
- ▶ The set  $E$  of the zeros of  $\xi_\infty$  is a *determinantal sine-kernel point process*, i.e. for  $m \geq 1$ ,  $f$  nonnegative and measurable from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{x_1 \neq \dots \neq x_m \in E} f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \right]$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(y_1, \dots, y_m) \rho_m(y_1, \dots, y_m) dy_1 \dots dy_m$$

where

$$\rho_m(y_1, \dots, y_m) = \det \left( \frac{\sin(\pi(y_p - y_q))}{\pi(y_p - y_q)} \right)_{1 \leq p, q \leq m}.$$

- ▶ The function  $\rho_m$  is called the *m-point correlation function* of the point process  $E$ .
- ▶  $\rho_1$  is identically equal to 1, so  $E$  has the same 1-point correlation as a Poisson point process of intensity 1.
- ▶ The 2-point correlation function is smaller than or equal to 1, so the points of  $E$  tend to repel each other.
- ▶ This repulsion corresponds, at the limit when the dimension goes to infinity, to the general phenomenon of repulsion for the eigenvalues of unitary ensembles.

- ▶ The function  $\rho_m$  is called the *m-point correlation function* of the point process  $E$ .
- ▶  $\rho_1$  is identically equal to 1, so  $E$  has the same 1-point correlation as a Poisson point process of intensity 1.
- ▶ The 2-point correlation function is smaller than or equal to 1, so the points of  $E$  tend to repel each other.
- ▶ This repulsion corresponds, at the limit when the dimension goes to infinity, to the general phenomenon of repulsion for the eigenvalues of unitary ensembles.

- ▶ The function  $\rho_m$  is called the *m-point correlation function* of the point process  $E$ .
- ▶  $\rho_1$  is identically equal to 1, so  $E$  has the same 1-point correlation as a Poisson point process of intensity 1.
- ▶ The 2-point correlation function is smaller than or equal to 1, so the points of  $E$  tend to repel each other.
- ▶ This repulsion corresponds, at the limit when the dimension goes to infinity, to the general phenomenon of repulsion for the eigenvalues of unitary ensembles.

- ▶ The function  $\rho_m$  is called the *m-point correlation function* of the point process  $E$ .
- ▶  $\rho_1$  is identically equal to 1, so  $E$  has the same 1-point correlation as a Poisson point process of intensity 1.
- ▶ The 2-point correlation function is smaller than or equal to 1, so the points of  $E$  tend to repel each other.
- ▶ This repulsion corresponds, at the limit when the dimension goes to infinity, to the general phenomenon of repulsion for the eigenvalues of unitary ensembles.

# The notion of virtual isometry

A classical result (due to Dyson up to technicalities) about the CUE is the following: if we multiply the eigenangles of  $u_n$  by  $n/2\pi$ , then the corresponding point process

$$E_n = \{y_k^{(n)} := n\theta_k^{(n)} / 2\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

weakly converges to a determinantal sine-kernel process  $E$ .

- ▶ The convergence of  $E_n$  toward  $E$  is a weak convergence: if we want to get a strong convergence, we need to define all the matrices  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  on the same probability space.

# The notion of virtual isometry

A classical result (due to Dyson up to technicalities) about the CUE is the following: if we multiply the eigenangles of  $u_n$  by  $n/2\pi$ , then the corresponding point process

$$E_n = \{y_k^{(n)} := n\theta_k^{(n)}/2\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

weakly converges to a determinantal sine-kernel process  $E$ .

- ▶ The convergence of  $E_n$  toward  $E$  is a weak convergence: if we want to get a strong convergence, we need to define all the matrices  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  on the same probability space.

- ▶ In articles with Bourgade, Maples and Nikeghbali, we study a particular coupling of the dimensions  $n$ , in such a way that an almost sure convergence occurs.
- ▶ In our construction, the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is almost surely a so-called *virtual isometry*.
- ▶ A virtual isometry is a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{rank}(u_{n+1} - \text{Diag}(u_n, 1)) = \min_{u \in U(n)} \text{rank}(u_{n+1} - \text{Diag}(u, 1)).$$

- ▶ If  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a virtual isometry, one can write  $u_{n+1} = r_{n+1} \text{Diag}(u_n, 1)$ , where  $r_{n+1}$  is a complex reflection, in the sense that it is unitary and the rank of  $r_{n+1} - I_{n+1}$  is at most 1.



- ▶ In articles with Bourgade, Maples and Nikeghbali, we study a particular coupling of the dimensions  $n$ , in such a way that an almost sure convergence occurs.
- ▶ In our construction, the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is almost surely a so-called *virtual isometry*.
- ▶ A virtual isometry is a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{rank}(u_{n+1} - \text{Diag}(u_n, 1)) = \min_{u \in U(n)} \text{rank}(u_{n+1} - \text{Diag}(u, 1)).$$

- ▶ If  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a virtual isometry, one can write  $u_{n+1} = r_{n+1} \text{Diag}(u_n, 1)$ , where  $r_{n+1}$  is a complex reflection, in the sense that it is unitary and the rank of  $r_{n+1} - I_{n+1}$  is at most 1.

- ▶ In articles with Bourgade, Maples and Nikeghbali, we study a particular coupling of the dimensions  $n$ , in such a way that an almost sure convergence occurs.
- ▶ In our construction, the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is almost surely a so-called *virtual isometry*.
- ▶ A virtual isometry is a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{rank}(u_{n+1} - \text{Diag}(u_n, 1)) = \min_{u \in U(n)} \text{rank}(u_{n+1} - \text{Diag}(u, 1)).$$

- ▶ If  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a virtual isometry, one can write  $u_{n+1} = r_{n+1} \text{Diag}(u_n, 1)$ , where  $r_{n+1}$  is a complex reflection, in the sense that it is unitary and the rank of  $r_{n+1} - I_{n+1}$  is at most 1.

- ▶ In articles with Bourgade, Maples and Nikeghbali, we study a particular coupling of the dimensions  $n$ , in such a way that an almost sure convergence occurs.
- ▶ In our construction, the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is almost surely a so-called *virtual isometry*.
- ▶ A virtual isometry is a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{rank}(u_{n+1} - \text{Diag}(u_n, 1)) = \min_{u \in U(n)} \text{rank}(u_{n+1} - \text{Diag}(u, 1)).$$

- ▶ If  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a virtual isometry, one can write  $u_{n+1} = r_{n+1} \text{Diag}(u_n, 1)$ , where  $r_{n+1}$  is a complex reflection, in the sense that it is unitary and the rank of  $r_{n+1} - I_{n+1}$  is at most 1.

- ▶ There exists a unique measure on the space of virtual isometries under which for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u_n$  is Haar-distributed.
- ▶ Borodin, Olshanski and Vershik have proven the almost sure convergence of  $E_n$  under this measure: almost surely, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $y_k^{(n)}$  tends to a limit  $y_k$  when  $n$  goes to infinity.
- ▶ The process  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a determinantal sine-kernel process.
- ▶ In papers with Bourgade, Maples, Nikeghbali, we have a more direct proof and we give a rate of convergence: for all  $\alpha > 1/3$ , one has almost surely, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq k^4$ ,

$$y_k^{(n)} - y_k = O((1 + k^2)n^{-\alpha}),$$

where the random implied constant does not depend on  $k$  and  $n$ .

- ▶ There exists a unique measure on the space of virtual isometries under which for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u_n$  is Haar-distributed.
- ▶ Borodin, Olshanski and Vershik have proven the almost sure convergence of  $E_n$  under this measure: almost surely, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $y_k^{(n)}$  tends to a limit  $y_k$  when  $n$  goes to infinity.
- ▶ The process  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a determinantal sine-kernel process.
- ▶ In papers with Bourgade, Maples, Nikeghbali, we have a more direct proof and we give a rate of convergence: for all  $\alpha > 1/3$ , one has almost surely, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq k^4$ ,

$$y_k^{(n)} - y_k = O((1 + k^2)n^{-\alpha}),$$

where the random implied constant does not depend on  $k$  and  $n$ .

- ▶ There exists a unique measure on the space of virtual isometries under which for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u_n$  is Haar-distributed.
- ▶ Borodin, Olshanski and Vershik have proven the almost sure convergence of  $E_n$  under this measure: almost surely, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $y_k^{(n)}$  tends to a limit  $y_k$  when  $n$  goes to infinity.
- ▶ The process  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a determinantal sine-kernel process.
- ▶ In papers with Bourgade, Maples, Nikeghbali, we have a more direct proof and we give a rate of convergence: for all  $\alpha > 1/3$ , one has almost surely, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq k^4$ ,

$$y_k^{(n)} - y_k = O((1 + k^2)n^{-\alpha}),$$

where the random implied constant does not depend on  $k$  and  $n$ .

- ▶ There exists a unique measure on the space of virtual isometries under which for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u_n$  is Haar-distributed.
- ▶ Borodin, Olshanski and Vershik have proven the almost sure convergence of  $E_n$  under this measure: almost surely, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $y_k^{(n)}$  tends to a limit  $y_k$  when  $n$  goes to infinity.
- ▶ The process  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a determinantal sine-kernel process.
- ▶ In papers with Bourgade, Maples, Nikeghbali, we have a more direct proof and we give a rate of convergence: for all  $\alpha > 1/3$ , one has almost surely, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq k^4$ ,

$$y_k^{(n)} - y_k = O((1 + k^2)n^{-\alpha}),$$

where the random implied constant does not depend on  $k$  and  $n$ .

## Application to the characteristic polynomial

- ▶ An elementary computation gives the following formula:

$$\xi_n(z) = e^{i\pi z} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{y_k^{(n)}} \right).$$

- ▶ The infinite product just above is not absolutely convergent. The absolute convergence occurs when we regroup the term of index  $k$  with the term of index  $1 - k$ , for  $k \geq 0$ .



## Application to the characteristic polynomial

- ▶ An elementary computation gives the following formula:

$$\xi_n(z) = e^{i\pi z} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{y_k^{(n)}} \right).$$

- ▶ The infinite product just above is not absolutely convergent. The absolute convergence occurs when we regroup the term of index  $k$  with the term of index  $1 - k$ , for  $k \geq 0$ .

- ▶ Since  $y_k^{(n)} \rightarrow y_k$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it is natural to expect the following result

$$\xi_n(z) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\pi z} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{y_k}\right) =: \xi_\infty(z).$$

- ▶ By using some estimates on the distribution of the points of a determinantal sine-kernel process, deduced from results by Costin, Lebowitz, Meckes and Soshnikov, one shows that the previous infinite product converges if we regroup the terms of indices  $k$  and  $1 - k$ .

- ▶ Since  $y_k^{(n)} \rightarrow y_k$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it is natural to expect the following result

$$\xi_n(z) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\pi z} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{y_k}\right) =: \xi_\infty(z).$$

- ▶ By using some estimates on the distribution of the points of a determinantal sine-kernel process, deduced from results by Costin, Lebowitz, Meckes and Soshnikov, one shows that the previous infinite product converges if we regroup the terms of indices  $k$  and  $1 - k$ .

- ▶ By using the estimate of  $y_k^{(n)} - y_k$  given by our results with Maples and Nikeghbali, we are able to prove that almost surely,  $\xi_n$  converges to  $\xi_\infty$ , uniformly on compact sets of  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- ▶ If we forget about the coupling between the different dimensions, we get the weak convergence stated at the beginning of the talk.
- ▶ The zeros of this function are exactly  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

- ▶ By using the estimate of  $y_k^{(n)} - y_k$  given by our results with Maples and Nikeghbali, we are able to prove that almost surely,  $\xi_n$  converges to  $\xi_\infty$ , uniformly on compact sets of  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- ▶ If we forget about the coupling between the different dimensions, we get the weak convergence stated at the beginning of the talk.
- ▶ The zeros of this function are exactly  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

- ▶ By using the estimate of  $y_k^{(n)} - y_k$  given by our results with Maples and Nikeghbali, we are able to prove that almost surely,  $\xi_n$  converges to  $\xi_\infty$ , uniformly on compact sets of  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- ▶ If we forget about the coupling between the different dimensions, we get the weak convergence stated at the beginning of the talk.
- ▶ The zeros of this function are exactly  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

## Some properties of the function $\xi_\infty$

- ▶ For any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , the random variable  $\xi_\infty(z)$  has no moment of order 1 or higher.
- ▶ However, if we take ratios of  $\xi_\infty$  at different points outside the real line, with the same number of factors at the numerator and the denominator, the moments can be computed.
- ▶ More precisely, for all  $z_1, \dots, z_k, z'_1, \dots, z'_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  such that  $z_i \neq z'_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , we have

$$\det \left( \frac{1}{z_i - z'_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\xi_\infty(z'_j)}{\xi_\infty(z_j)} \right) = \det \left( \frac{1}{z_i - z'_j} \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\xi_\infty(z'_j)}{\xi_\infty(z_i)} \right) \right)_{i,j=1}^k$$

where

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\xi_\infty(z')}{\xi_\infty(z)} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Im(z) > 0 \\ e^{i2\pi(z'-z)} & \text{if } \Im(z) < 0 \end{cases}$$

## Some properties of the function $\xi_\infty$

- ▶ For any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , the random variable  $\xi_\infty(z)$  has no moment of order 1 or higher.
- ▶ However, if we take ratios of  $\xi_\infty$  at different points outside the real line, with the same number of factors at the numerator and the denominator, the moments can be computed.
- ▶ More precisely, for all  $z_1, \dots, z_k, z'_1, \dots, z'_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  such that  $z_i \neq z'_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , we have

$$\det \left( \frac{1}{z_i - z'_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\xi_\infty(z'_j)}{\xi_\infty(z_j)} \right) = \det \left( \frac{1}{z_i - z'_j} \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\xi_\infty(z'_j)}{\xi_\infty(z_i)} \right) \right)_{i,j=1}^k$$

where

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\xi_\infty(z')}{\xi_\infty(z)} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Im(z) > 0 \\ e^{i2\pi(z'-z)} & \text{if } \Im(z) < 0 \end{cases}$$



## Some properties of the function $\xi_\infty$

- ▶ For any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , the random variable  $\xi_\infty(z)$  has no moment of order 1 or higher.
- ▶ However, if we take ratios of  $\xi_\infty$  at different points outside the real line, with the same number of factors at the numerator and the denominator, the moments can be computed.
- ▶ More precisely, for all  $z_1, \dots, z_k, z'_1, \dots, z'_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  such that  $z_i \neq z'_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , we have

$$\det \left( \frac{1}{z_i - z'_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\xi_\infty(z'_j)}{\xi_\infty(z_j)} \right) = \det \left( \frac{1}{z_i - z'_j} \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\xi_\infty(z'_j)}{\xi_\infty(z_i)} \right) \right)_{i,j=1}^k$$

where

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\xi_\infty(z')}{\xi_\infty(z)} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Im(z) > 0 \\ e^{i2\pi(z'-z)} & \text{if } \Im(z) < 0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ The logarithmic derivative of  $\xi_\infty$  has moments of any order, at any point on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .
- ▶ We have an explicit (quite complicated) combinatorial formula for the joint moments of  $\xi'_\infty / \xi_\infty$ .
- ▶ In particular, we get, for  $z \notin \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\xi'_\infty(z)}{\xi_\infty(z)} \right] = 2i\pi \mathbb{1}_{\Im(z) < 0}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{\xi'_\infty(z)}{\xi_\infty(z)} \right|^2 \right] = 4\pi^2 \mathbb{1}_{\Im(z) < 0} + \frac{1 - e^{-4\pi|\Im(z)|}}{4(\Im(z))^2}.$$

- ▶ The logarithmic derivative of  $\xi_\infty$  has moments of any order, at any point on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .
- ▶ We have an explicit (quite complicated) combinatorial formula for the joint moments of  $\xi'_\infty / \xi_\infty$ .
- ▶ In particular, we get, for  $z \notin \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\xi'_\infty(z)}{\xi_\infty(z)} \right] = 2i\pi \mathbb{1}_{\Im(z) < 0}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{\xi'_\infty(z)}{\xi_\infty(z)} \right|^2 \right] = 4\pi^2 \mathbb{1}_{\Im(z) < 0} + \frac{1 - e^{-4\pi|\Im(z)|}}{4(\Im(z))^2}.$$

- ▶ The logarithmic derivative of  $\xi_\infty$  has moments of any order, at any point on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .
- ▶ We have an explicit (quite complicated) combinatorial formula for the joint moments of  $\xi'_\infty / \xi_\infty$ .
- ▶ In particular, we get, for  $z \notin \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\xi'_\infty(z)}{\xi_\infty(z)} \right] = 2i\pi \mathbb{1}_{\Im(z) < 0}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{\xi'_\infty(z)}{\xi_\infty(z)} \right|^2 \right] = 4\pi^2 \mathbb{1}_{\Im(z) < 0} + \frac{1 - e^{-4\pi|\Im(z)|}}{4(\Im(z))^2}.$$

- ▶ We have also proven that the order of  $\xi_\infty$  as an holomorphic function is one.
- ▶ More precisely, there exists a.s. a random  $C > 0$ , such that for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$|\xi_\infty(z)| \leq e^{C|z| \log(2+|z|)}.$$

,

- ▶ On the other hand, there exists a.s. a random  $c > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|\xi_\infty(ix)| \geq ce^{c|x|}.$$

- ▶ We have also proven that the order of  $\xi_\infty$  as an holomorphic function is one.
- ▶ More precisely, there exists a.s. a random  $C > 0$ , such that for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$|\xi_\infty(z)| \leq e^{C|z|\log(2+|z|)}.$$

- ,
- ▶ On the other hand, there exists a.s. a random  $c > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|\xi_\infty(ix)| \geq ce^{c|x|}.$$

- ▶ We have also proven that the order of  $\xi_\infty$  as an holomorphic function is one.
- ▶ More precisely, there exists a.s. a random  $C > 0$ , such that for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$|\xi_\infty(z)| \leq e^{C|z|\log(2+|z|)}.$$

,

- ▶ On the other hand, there exists a.s. a random  $c > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|\xi_\infty(ix)| \geq ce^{c|x|}.$$

## Link with the Riemann zeta function

Let  $\zeta$  be the Riemann zeta function.

- ▶ The Riemann hypothesis says that all the zeros of  $\zeta$  whose real part are in  $[0, 1]$  are in fact on the critical line  $\{s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) = 1/2\}$ .
- ▶ A conjecture by Montgomery, generalized by Rudnik and Sarnak, says, in a sense which can be made precise, that the distribution of zeros of  $\zeta$ , properly renormalized, tends to the distribution of a determinantal sine-kernel process, when the imaginary part goes to infinity.
- ▶ Using this conjecture and a classical expression of  $\zeta$  as a Hadamard product, we have stated the following conjecture.



## Link with the Riemann zeta function

Let  $\zeta$  be the Riemann zeta function.

- ▶ The Riemann hypothesis says that all the zeros of  $\zeta$  whose real part are in  $[0, 1]$  are in fact on the critical line  $\{s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) = 1/2\}$ .
- ▶ A conjecture by Montgomery, generalized by Rudnik and Sarnak, says, in a sense which can be made precise, that the distribution of zeros of  $\zeta$ , properly renormalized, tends to the distribution of a determinantal sine-kernel process, when the imaginary part goes to infinity.
- ▶ Using this conjecture and a classical expression of  $\zeta$  as a Hadamard product, we have stated the following conjecture.

## Link with the Riemann zeta function

Let  $\zeta$  be the Riemann zeta function.

- ▶ The Riemann hypothesis says that all the zeros of  $\zeta$  whose real part are in  $[0, 1]$  are in fact on the critical line  $\{s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) = 1/2\}$ .
- ▶ A conjecture by Montgomery, generalized by Rudnik and Sarnak, says, in a sense which can be made precise, that the distribution of zeros of  $\zeta$ , properly renormalized, tends to the distribution of a determinantal sine-kernel process, when the imaginary part goes to infinity.
- ▶ Using this conjecture and a classical expression of  $\zeta$  as a Hadamard product, we have stated the following conjecture.

## Conjecture

If  $U$  is a uniform variable on  $[0, 1]$ , then we have the convergences in law:

$$\left( \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iTU - \frac{2i\pi z}{\log T}\right)}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iTU\right)} \right)_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} (\xi_\infty(z))_{z \in \mathbb{C}},$$

$$\left( \frac{-2i\pi}{\log T} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + iTU - \frac{2i\pi z}{\log T} \right) \right)_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\xi'_\infty}{\xi_\infty}(z) \right)_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}}.$$

- ▶ For this last convergence in law, we also expect the corresponding convergence for the moments of ratios of  $\zeta$  and the moments of  $\zeta'/\zeta$ . Such a convergence is directly related to conjectures by Goldston, Gonek and Montgomery.
- ▶ It has been proven by Rodgers that under Riemann hypothesis, the conjectures by Montgomery, Rudnik, Sarnak on the distribution of the zeros of  $\zeta$  imply our conjecture on the moments of ratios of  $\zeta$ .

## Conjecture

If  $U$  is a uniform variable on  $[0, 1]$ , then we have the convergences in law:

$$\left( \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iTU - \frac{2i\pi z}{\log T}\right)}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iTU\right)} \right)_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} (\xi_\infty(z))_{z \in \mathbb{C}},$$

$$\left( \frac{-2i\pi}{\log T} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + iTU - \frac{2i\pi z}{\log T} \right) \right)_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\xi'_\infty}{\xi_\infty}(z) \right)_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}}.$$

- ▶ For this last convergence in law, we also expect the corresponding convergence for the moments of ratios of  $\zeta$  and the moments of  $\zeta'/\zeta$ . Such a convergence is directly related to conjectures by Goldston, Gonek and Montgomery.
- ▶ It has been proven by Rodgers that under Riemann hypothesis, the conjectures by Montgomery, Rudnik, Sarnak on the distribution of the zeros of  $\zeta$  imply our conjecture on the moments of ratios of  $\zeta$ .

## Conjecture

If  $U$  is a uniform variable on  $[0, 1]$ , then we have the convergences in law:

$$\left( \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iTU - \frac{2i\pi z}{\log T}\right)}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iTU\right)} \right)_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} (\xi_\infty(z))_{z \in \mathbb{C}},$$

$$\left( \frac{-2i\pi}{\log T} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \left( \frac{1}{2} + iTU - \frac{2i\pi z}{\log T} \right) \right)_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\xi'_\infty}{\xi_\infty}(z) \right)_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}}.$$

- ▶ For this last convergence in law, we also expect the corresponding convergence for the moments of ratios of  $\zeta$  and the moments of  $\zeta'/\zeta$ . Such a convergence is directly related to conjectures by Goldston, Gonek and Montgomery.
- ▶ It has been proven by Rodgers that under Riemann hypothesis, the conjectures by Montgomery, Rudnik, Sarnak on the distribution of the zeros of  $\zeta$  imply our conjecture on the moments of ratios of  $\zeta$ .

Thank you for your attention!